Children's and Young People Services Members Performance Report

As at month end: March 2023 (Quarter 4 - 2022/23)

Document details Status: Issue 1 **Date created:** 22/02/23

Created by: Performance & Quality Team

Contact: cyps-performance@rotherham.gov.uk

Please note: Data reports are not dynamic. Although care is taken to ensure data is as accurate as possible every month, delays in data input can result in changes in figures when reports are re-run retrospectively. To combat this at least two individual months data is rerun for each indicator where necessary.

Our Vision

"Working with Rotherham's children, young people and families to be resilient, successful and safe"



*DOT - Direction of travel represents the direction of 'performance' since the previous month showing if the number or percentage has gone up or down. Colours have been added to help distinguish better and worse performance with the exceptions of measures that are for information only. Key Below;-

↑↓ - increase/decrease in number/percentage = improvement in performance
 ↑↓ - increase/decrease in number/percentage = decline in performance

| | - number/percentage remained same as previous month | <u>ح</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| *REF NO. | INDICATOR | res | TIMELINE | DATA | | | 2022 | 2 / 23 | | | | | TARGET | & TOLE | RANCES | YR ON Y | R PERFORMANCE | | | | RKING | | | |
| NO. | | Counc Measu 22/25 | | NOTE | Jan-23 | Feb-23 | Mar-23 (Q4) | 2022/23 | 3 Outturn | Good perf is | DOT (Yr on Yr) | RAG (Yr End) | Red | Amber | Green (target) | 2021/22 | Yr on Yr trend | Stat neigh av. | Best stat neigh | Nat av. | Top qtile threshold | RIA 2020/21 | | |
| | % of assessments for children's social care completed in 45 working days of referral | | monthly | % | 79.7% | 91.7% | 89.4% | 88.6% | | high | ¥ | | <84% | 84%+ | 92%+ | 88.8% | | 85.2% | 100.0% | 87.6% | 94.3% | 83.4% | | |
| | Rate of S47's per 10,000 population aged 0-17 - rolling 12 month performance | | monthly | Rate per 10,000 | 280.3 | 280.3 | 278.2 | 278.2 | lulu. | low | ↓ | | 256.0+ | | <256.0 (22/23) | 284.93 | | 218.8 | 119.4 | 164.4 | | 189.0 | | |
| B.3 (SC) | No. of children in need (CIN) per 10K population. (DfE definition) | CH02 | monthly | Rate per 10,000 | 376.3 | 380.0 | 378.6 | 378.6 | | low | ↓ | | 375.5+ | | <375.5 | 380.5 | | 418.5 | 313.9 | 321.2 | | 350.8 | | |
| (SC) | % of initial child protection conference (ICPCs) completed within 15 days of S47 | | monthly | % | 89.7% | 92.0% | 83.3% | 88.7% | uli llı il | high | 1 | | <82% | 82%+ | 90%+ | 74.1% | | 88.5% | 100.0% | 83.0% | 92.0% | 81.9% | | |
| B.5 (SC) | Rate of children with a child protection plan per 10,000 population aged 0- 17 | CH03 | monthly | Rate per 10,000 | 77.9 | 75.9 | 70.4 | 70.4 | | low | ¥ | | 85+ | | <85 | 82.7 | | 58.3 | 24.9 | 41.4 | | | | |
| B.6 (SC) | % of open child protection plans lasting 2 years or more | | monthly | % | 0.5% | 1.9% | 1.5% | 1.5% | <u>Ilullu i</u> | low | ↓ | | 2.0%+ | 0.5%+ | <0.5% | 2.5% | \checkmark | 1.9% | 0.0% | 2.0% | 0.0% | | | |
| B.7 (SC) | % of child protection cases which were reviewed within timescales | | monthly | % | 100.0% | 100.0% | 97.2% | 98.2% | | high | 1 | | <90% | 90%+ | 98%+ | 94.4% | \bigwedge | 92.2% | 100.0% | 93.2% | 99.0% | | | |
| (30) | Rate of looked after children per 10,000 population aged 0-17 | CH04 | monthly | Rate per 10,000 | 96.9 | 98.5 | 96.7 | 96.7 | <u>ı. milti</u> | low | ↓ | | 95.2+ | | <95.2 | 97.8 | | 99.4 | 58.0 | 67.0 | | 78.0 | | |
| (SC) | % of long term looked after children in placements which have been stable for at least 2 years | | monthly | % | 66.8% | 64.4% | 65.2% | 65.2% | <u> </u> | high | ¥ | | <62% | 62%+ | 70%+ | 70.2% | | 67.1% | 71.0% | 70.0% | 74.0% | | | |
| (SC) | % of looked after children who have had 3 or more placements - rolling 12 months | OLD | monthly | % | 9.2% | 8.3% | 9.7% | 9.7% | | low | 1 | | 16%+ | 8%+ | <8% | 9.3% | \sim | 7.8% | 5.0% | 9.0% | 7.0% | - | | |
| (SC) | Av. days between a child becoming looked after and having a adoption placement (A10) | | monthly | YTD Average | 552 | 552 | 492.5 | 492.5 | <u> </u> | low | 1 | | 487+ | | <487 | 418.9 | \bigwedge | 350.1 | 274.0 | 367.0 | 317.5 | 348.0 | | |
| (SC) | Av. days between a placement order and being matched with an adoptive family (A2) | | monthly | YTD Average | 210 | 210 | 197.4 | 197.4 | <u> </u> jm | low | ↓ | | 121+ | | <121 | 292.2 | \bigwedge | 160.4 | 90.0 | 175.0 | 135.5 | 168.0 | | |
| B.13 (SC) | % of care leavers in suitable accommodation | | monthly | % | 96.5% | 96.9% | 97.2% | 97.2% | <u>. III.</u> | high | 1 | | <88% | 88%+ | 96%+ | 96.6% | $\bigwedge / ,$ | 91.1% | 97.0% | 88.0% | 94.0% | | | |
| B.14 (SC) | % of care leavers in employment, education or training | | monthly | % | 71.2% | 70.2% | 69.6% | 69.6% | | high | ¥ | | <58% | 58%+ | 66%+ | 71.6% | | 53.1% | 73.0% | 52.0% | 58.0% | | | |
| B.15 (SC) | % of agency staff in social care | OLD | monthly | % | 5.5% | 5.2% | 5.4% | 5.4% | | low | ¥ | | 10%+ | | <10% | 7.14% | | 15.2% | 0.7% | 15.5% | 8.3% | | | |
| B.16 (EH) | Young people aged 16-17 (academic age) whose current activity is 'not | OLD | annual | % | 2.3 | 3% | - | 2.3% | | low | 1 | | | | 2.2% | 1.3% | | 2.30% | 0.00% | 2.20% | | | | |
| () | | OLD | monthly | % | 1.4% | 1.4% | 1.5% | 1.5% | <u>llu</u> | low | • | | | | | 2.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | Young people aged 16-17 (academic age) who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) | | annual | % | 5.2% | | - | 4.9% | | low | ↑ ▲ | | | | 2.5% | 3.6% | | 3.50% | 2.00% | 2.60% | | | | |
| | | OLD OLD | monthly annual | % | 5.2% | 4.8% 2% | 4.8% - | 4.8% 7.2% | | low low | ↑ ↑ | | | | 4.7% | 4.0% 4.9% | | 5.80% | 4.20% | 4.70% | | | | |
| | Young people aged 16-17 (academic age) who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) or 'not known' combined | OLD | monthly | % | 6.6% | 6.2% | 6.4% | 6.4% | | low | т • | | | | 1.1 /0 | 5.9% | | 0.0070 | | | | | | |
| | No. of young people first time entrants (FTE) into the criminal justice system | | quarterly | Rate per 10,000 | - | - | | 153 Oct21- | <u> </u> | low | • | | | | Lower | 183 (Jan21-Dec21) | | 150 (Apr21-Mar22) | 84 (Apr21-Mar22) | 142 (Apr21-Mar22) | | | | |
| (ЕП) B.20 (EH) | Use of Custody | | quarterly | Rate per 10,000 | - | - | Auglish | Sep22 0.00 Jan22- | | low | → | | | | than same qtr previous | (Janz 1-Decz 1) 0.0 (Apr21-Mar22) | | 0.09 (Jul21-Jun22) | (Apr21-Mar22) 0.00 (Jul21-Jun22) | 0.11 (Jul21-Jun22) | | | | |
| B.21 | ate of re-offending by young offenders (re-offending rates after 12 onths aggregated qtly cohort) | | quarterly | Binary rate | - | - | Available in May | Dec22 19.8 Apr20- | | low | • | | | | year & compara ble with | (Jul19-Jun20) | | 31.0 '(Oct19-Sep20) | 20.0 | 33.6 '(Oct19-Sep20) | | | | |
| B.22 | Re-offences by re-offenders (re-offending rates after 12 months aggregated qtly cohort) | | quarterly | Frequency rate | - | - | | Mar21 3.56 Apr20- | | low | ↓ | | | | national trends | (Jul19-Jun20) | | 3.78 (Oct19-Sep20) | 2.25 (Oct19-Sep20) | 3.49 (Oct19-Sep20) | | | | |
| * Refere | aggregated quy conorty ence Number - The letters within the brackets identifies which service within or relates to. | the CY | PS Directora | ate the | | 1 | <u>.</u> | Mar21 | : | | 1 | : | | | : | | 1 | (| ! . , | | | | | |

KEY:

(SC) - Social Care Service

(EH) - Early Help Services

As at month end: March 2023 (Quarter 4 - 2022/23)

*DOT - Direction of travel represents the direction of 'performance' since the previous month showing if the number or percentage has gone up or down. Colours have been added to help distinguish better and worse performance with the exceptions of measures that are for information only. Key: ↑↓ - increase/decrease in number/percentage = improvement in performance
 ↑↓ - increase/decrease in number/percentage = decline in performance

ntage remained same as previous mon nu

| | - number/percentage remained same as previous month | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|------------------|----------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------------|----------------|
| *REF | | il Plan res | | DATA | | | | 2022 / : | 23 | | | | TARGE | T & TOLER | ANCES | YR ON | YR PERFORMANCE | LATEST BENCHMARKING | | | | |
| *REF NO. | NDICATOR | Counci Measur | TIMELINE | NOTE | Jan-23 | Feb-23 | Mar-23 | 20 | 22/23 Outturn | Good perf is | DOT (Yr on Yr) | RAG (Yr End) | Red | Amber | Green (target) | 2021/22 | Yr on Yr trend | Stat neigh av. | Best stat neigh | Nat av. | Top qtile threshold | RIA 2020/21 |
| A.1 (SC) | lo. of all contacts (children) received | | monthly | Count | 1625 | 1537 | 1839 | 18784 | 1.1.11 | info | ♦ | | | | | 22209 | New measure 2021/22 | | | | | |
| | lo. of all contacts (children) identified as social care (inc. harm, not sure, info nly & view files) | | monthly | Count | 991 | 989 | 1020 | 11912 | II | info | ↓ | | | | | 16864 | \bigvee | | | | | |
| A.2 (SC) | lo. of all contacts (families) identified as social care | | monthly | Count | 530 | 518 | 526 | 6282 | 1111111 111 | info | ٠ | | | | • | 8727 | New measure 2021/22 | | | | | |
| | 6 of all contacts identified as social care | | monthly | % | 61.0% | 64.3% | 55.5% | 63.4% | 111.1 | info | ٠ | | | | • | 75.9% | New measure 2021/22 | | | | | |
| | No. of all contacts (children) identified as early help (inc. help, step down/co- vorking, EHA partner) | | monthly | Count | 612 | 536 | 805 | 6702 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 5155 | New measure 2021/22 | | | | | |
| A.3 (SC) | No. of all contacts (families) identified as early help | | monthly | Count | 299 | 273 | 379 | 3133 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 2470 | New measure 2021/22 | | | | | |
| | 6 of all contacts identified as early help | | monthly | % | 37.7% | 34.9% | 43.8% | 35.7% | | info | ↑ | | | | | 23.2% | New measure 2021/22 | | | | | |
| | lo. of contacts (children) with decision within 1 working day (social care arget) | | monthly | Count | 541 | 615 | 554 | 6045 | | high | ¥ | | | | • | 7067 | New measure 2021/22 | | | | | |
| (SC) | 6 of contacts with decision within 1 working day (social care target) | | monthly | % | 76.6% | 84.5% | 77.8% | 72.1% | | high | ↓ | | <82% | 82%+ | 88%+ | 80.3% | | | | | | |
| A.5 (SC) | 6 of re-referral in 12 months - in current month | CH0 6 | monthly | % | 12.4% | 15.1% | 19.4% | 17.2% | I.I | low | ↓ | | 30%+ | 22%+ | <22% | 18.3% | / | | | | | |
| A.6 | No. of children currently supported by the Evolve service at risk of child sexual exploitation (CSE) | CH0 7 | monthly | Count | 39 | 39 | 37 | 37 | . I salalas. | info | ¥ | | | | | 41 | | | | | | |
| A.7 | lo. of children currently supported by the Evolve service at risk of child riminal exploitation (CCE) | | monthly | Count | 52 | 52 | 58 | 58 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 42 | New measure 2021/22 | | | | | |
| Δ 8 | No. of open children in need (CIN) cases | | monthly | Count | 951 | 987 | 1007 | 1007 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 978 | | | | | | |
| A 0 | No. of children with a child protection (CP) plan | | monthly | Count | 439 | 428 | 397 | 397 | | info | ↓ | | | | | 475 | | | | | | |
| A.10 (SC) | 6 of child protection plans (CPP) with visits in the last 2 weeks | | monthly | % | 95.8% | 89.9% | 95.1% | 95.1% | 111 11 | high | ↑ | | <87% | 87%+ | 95%+ | 87.9% | | | | | | |
| A.11 | 6 of children becoming the subject of a child protection plan (CPP) for a second or subsequent time within 2 years - rolling 12 months | OLD | monthly | % | 8.4% | 9.6% | 8.7% | 8.7% | II | low | ↓ | | 16%+ | 8%+ | <8% | 15.6% | | | | | | |
| A 12 | lo. of looked after children | | monthly | Count | 546 | 555 | 545 | 545 | | info | ↓ | | | | | 562 | | | | | | |
| A.13 | % of looked after children visits up to date & completed within timescale of ational minimum standard | | monthly | % | 95.5% | 95.1% | 95.6% | 95.6% | Illubre - | high | ¥ | | <90% | 90%+ | 98%+ | 96.8% | | | | | | |
| A 14 | 6 of looked after children care plans reviewed within timescales | | monthly | % | 86.3% | 91.9% | 90.4% | 91.5% | | high | ¥ | | <87% | 87%+ | 95%+ | 94.3% | | | | | | |
| A 1E | 6 of looked after children having an initial health assessment within timescale | | monthly | % | 11.8% | 50.0% | 60.0% | 61.5% | | high | ↓ | | | | 0 | 68.5% | | | | | | |
| A 10 | 6 of looked after children with a up to date health assessments | | monthly | % | 87.8% | 84.4% | 78.7% | 78.7% | | high | 1 | | <87% | 87%+ | 95%+ | 71.0% | | | | | | |
| A 47 | 6 of looked after children with a up to date dental assessments | | monthly | % | 77.4% | 72.3% | 69.4% | 69.4% | | high | ↑ | | <87% | 87%+ | 95%+ | 44.0% | | | | | | |
| A 10 | 6 of looked after children in a family based setting | OLD | monthly | % | 77.3% | 76.4% | 77.0% | 77.0% | ll | high | ¥ | | <77% | 77%+ | 85%+ | 79.4% | · · · · | | | | | |
| A 10 | lo. of care leavers | | monthly | Count | 316 | 319 | 319 | 319 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 292 | | | | | | |
| | 6 of eligible looked after children & Care Leavers with an up to date pathway lan | | monthly | % | 77.8% | 78.6% | 74.5% | 74.5% | 1111.111 | high | ↓ | | | | | 82.8% | | | | | | |
| A.21 | Av. caseload of social workers in key safeguarding teams (exc. Children's Disability Team) | | monthly | Average count | 21.4 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 22.0 | | low | 1 | | 23+ | 19+ | <19 | 19.4 | New measure 2021/22 | | _ | | | |

| *REF INDICATOR | | | DATA | | | | 2022 / | 23 | | | | TARGE | T & TOLER | ANCES | YR ON Y | R PERFORMANCE | LATEST BENCHMARKING | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-------|-----------|-------------------|---------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| *REF NO. INDICATOR | Council Measure | TIMELINE | NOTE | Jan-23 | Feb-23 | Mar-23 | 20 | 22/23 Outturn | Good per is | | RAG (Yr End) | Red | Amber | Green (target) | 2021/22 | Yr on Yr trend | Stat neigh av. | Best stat neigh | Nat av. | Top qtile threshold | RIA 2020/21 | | |
| A.22 (Early Help initial contacts made within 3 working days of allocation | | monthly | Count | 69 | 73 | 65 | 677 | | info | ^ | | | | | 474 | - | | | | | | | |
| (EH) Early Help Initial contacts made within 3 working days of allocation | | montiny | % | 84.1% | 93.6% | 92.9% | 89.3% | <u> _ _ </u> | high | 1 | | <65% | 65%+ | 75%+ | 88.8% | | | | | | | | |
| A.23 (EHA complete in 48 days from triage decision date (3 days IC plus 45 days | | men an the bur | Count | 76 | 69 | 106 | 755 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 683 | | | | | | | | |
| (EH) (EHA complete in 48 days from thage decision date (3 days iC plus 45 days for EHA)) | | monthly | % | 93.8% | 80.2% | 86.9% | 87.6% | | high | 4 | | <75% | 75%+ | 85%> | 91.4% | | | | | | | | |
| A 24 | | | Count | 34 | 25 | 51 | 372 | I.I. I.I.I | info | Ŷ | | | | | 390 | | | | | | | | |
| A.24 (EH) Early help assessments completed by partners | | monthly | % | 24.1% | 20.0% | 28.2% | 25.6% | II.I .I.I.I | high | 4 | | | | | 28.9% | * | | | | | | | |
| A.25 No. of early help step downs agreed in locality | | | Count | 51 | 20 | 35 | 367 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 322 | | | | | | | | |
| (EH) No. of early help step downs agreed in locality | | monthly | Count | 108 | 43 | 65 | 802 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 675 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Count | 17 | 22 | 22 | 286 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 249 | | | | | | | | |
| A.26 No. of early help step ups to social care (episode closure reason - 'Refer to (EH) LCS') | | monthly | Count | 27 | 54 | 54 | 621 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 558 | | | | | | | | |
| A.27 % of children aged 0-5 living in the 30% most deprived SOA's in Rotherham | | monthly | % | 88.0% | 89.0% | 92.0% | 92.0% | | high | • | | | | 95%+ | 87.0% | | | | | | | | |
| (EH)who are registered with a Children's CentreA.28% of children aged 0-5 living in the 30% most deprived SOA's in Rotherham | | monthly | % | 69.0% | 74.0% | 78.0% | 78.0% | | high | • • | | | | 65%+ | 72.0% | | | | | | | | |
| (EH) who have accessed Children's Centre activities | | monuny | | | | | | | info | - | | | | 00701 | 88 | | | | | | | | |
| A.29 (EH) No. of family group conferences which have taken place | | monthly | Count | 10 | 6 | 15 | 98 | | | ↑ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A.30 No. of odda of odda of and append through and | | | Count | 20 | 11 | 25 | 179 | | info | ↑ | | | | | 171 | | | | | | | | |
| | CH1 | monthly | Count | 65 | 53 | 52 | 52 | <u>IIulliu</u> | info | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (INC) No. of children with an Education Health & Care plan | 0 | Monthly | Count | 2919 | 2964 | 3019 | 3019 | | info | ^ | | | | | 2640 | | | | | | | | |
| A.32 (INC) No. of Education Health & Care plans issued in 20 weeks | OLD | Monthly | Count | 11 | 23 | 31 | 186 | I | high | ^ | | | | | 181 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | % | 16.4% Report | 47.9% | 49.2% | 42.1% | <u> </u> | high | ↓ | | <47% | 47%+ | 58%+ | 54.2% | | | | | | | | |
| | | Monthly | Count | for | 42/136 | 42/136 | 42/136 | | high | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A.33 No of Education Health & Care Plan (EHCP) transition reviews completed by | | (cumulative) | % | | 30.9% | 30.9% | 30.9% | | high | | | <50% | 50-70% | 70% | | | | | | | | | |
| (INC) the statutory deadline | | Monthly | Count | gone | 0/160 | 8/159 | 8/159 | | high | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | (cumulative) | % | further develop | 0.0% | 0.03% | 0.03% | | high | | | <50% | 50-70% | 70% | | | | | | | | | |
| A.34 No. of Education Health & Care Plan (EHCP) tribunal cases open at the end c (INC) the month | of | Monthly | Count | 12 | 9 | 13 | 13 | | info | ^ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Reference Number - The letters within the brackets identifies which service within th | e CYPS | 6 Directorate | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

* Reference Number - The letters within the brackets identifies which service within the CYPS Directorate the indicator relates to. **KEY:**

(SC) (EH) (INC)

*DOT - Direction of travel represents the direction of 'performance' since the previous month showing if the number or percentage has gone up or down. Colours have been added to help distinguish better and worse performance with the exceptions of measures that are for information only.

Key:↑- increase/decrease in number/percentage = improvement in performance↑↓- increase/decrease in number/percentage = decline in performance

ber/percentage remained same as previous month

| *REF | | | | | DATA | | | | 2022/23 | | | | TARGE | T & TOLE | RANCES | YR ON Y | R PERFORMANCE | | LATEST BENCHMARKING | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|----------------|------|--------|----------|-------------------|------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| NO. | INDICATOR | | Council Pla Measures | TIMELINE | NOTE | Term 1 | Term 2 | 2022/2 | 3 YTD | Good perf is | DOT* (term) | RAG | Red | Amber | Green (target) | 2021/22 | Yr on Yr trend | Stat neigh av. | Best stat neigh | Nat av. | Top qtile threshold | Yorkshi & Humb | | |
| ED.1 (B) | | | CH0 8 | Termly | % | 96.4% | 90.0% | 90.0% | | high | ¥ | | <80% | 80%+ | 85%+ | 87.6% | | 77.9% | 87.0% | 72.0% | 82.0% | | | |
| ED.2 (B) | % of primary children who were allocated one of their preferences on National Offer Day | 3 admission | | Annual | % | - | 99.0% | - | | high | 1 | | <98% | | 98%+ | 98.5% | | | ¢ | 98.0% | | | | |
| (D) ED.3 (B) | % of secondary children who were allocated one of th preferences on National Offer Day | neir 3 admission | | Annual | % | - | 96.0% | - | | high | ↓ | | <96% | | 96%+ | 97.5% | \sim | | | 96.0% | | | | |
| (D) ED.4 | % of overall attendance | Primary | | Termly | % | 93.2% | 94.0% | 93.5% | | high | ^ | | <96% | | 96%+ | 93.0% | Ť | 96.4% | 97.0% | 96.4% | - | 96.2% | | |
| (B) | (Statutory school aged children) | Secondary | | Termly | % | 90.3% | 90.7% | 90.5% | | high | • | | <95% | | 95%+ | 90.0% | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | | | 95.0% | | 94.0% | | |
| D.5 | % of persistent absence - 10% or more sessions | Primary | | Termly | % | 22.2% | 17.6% | 18.0% | | low | ۔ ب | | 8.8%+ | | <8.8% | 25.4% | | | | 8.8% | | 9.7% | | |
| (B) | missed (Statutory school aged children) | Secondary | | (cumulative) Termly | % | 28.8% | 24.0% | 23.8% | | low | ¥ | | 14.8%+ | | <14.8% | 29.3% | | | | 14.8% | | 17.3% | | |
| D.6 | % of early years foundation stage (EYFS) pupils achie development (GLD) | eving a good level of | | (cumulative) Annual | % | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | 65.2% (2022) | 64.5% | | 64.1% | 67.1% | 65.2% | 67.8% | 64.4% | | |
| (B) ED.7 | % of pupils passing the phonics screening check in ye | ear 1 | | Annual | % | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | 75.0% | 75.0% | | 76.0% | 81.0% | 75.0% | 78.1% | 75.0% | | |
| (B) ED.8 | % of key stage 1 (KS1) pupils meeting expected stand | dard (EXS) in | | Annual | % | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 53.4% | 51.8% | × ` | | | 53.4% | | 52.1% | | |
| (B) ED.9 | reading, writing and maths (R,W&M) % of key stage 1 (KS1) pupils who met the greater de | epth standard (GDS) | | Annual | % | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 5.9% | (Nexus) 4.0% | | | | (Nexus) 5.9% | | (Nexus) 5.1% | | |
| (B) D.10 | in reading, writing and maths (R,W&M) % of key stage 2 (KS2) pupils achieving expected standard (EXS+) in | | OLD | Annual | % | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 59.0% | 54.0% | | 58.5% | 65.0% | (Nexus) 59.0% | 62.1% | (Nexus) 57.0% | | |
| (B) D.11 | | | | Annual | % | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 7.0% | 4.0% | | 6.0% | 8.0% | 7.0% | 9.1% | 6.0% | | |
| (B) D.12 | ² Average progress in reading (between Key Stage1-2) | | | Annual | Av. | _ | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 0.05 | -1.21 (Nexus) | | | | 0.05 | | -0.09 | | |
| (B) D.13 | | | | Annual | Av. | _ | _ | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 0.05 | -0.76 | | | | (Nexus) 0.05 | | (Nexus) 0.15 | | |
| (B) D.14 | Average progress in maths (between Key Stage1-2) | | | Annual | Av. | _ | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 0.03 | -1.03 | | | | (Nexus) 0.03 | | (Nexus 0.08 | | |
| (B) D.15 | % of key stage 4 (KS4) pupils achieving grade 5 or at | bove in English and | | Annual | % | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 46.6% | 44.7% | | 44.7% | 50.5% | (Nexus) 46.6% | 54.3% | (Nexus) 47.4% | | |
| (B) D.16 | maths Average progress 8 score | | OLD | Annual | Av. | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) -0.06 | -0.09 | | -0.20 | -0.07 | -0.06 | 0.1 | -0.07 | | |
| (B) D.17 | Average attainment 8 Score | | OLD | | Av. | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 47.10 | 46.70 | | 45.82 | 47.50 | 47.10 | 51.40 | 46.80 | | |
| (B) D.18 | Average English Baccalaureate (Ebacc) points score | | | Annual | Av. | - | - | - | | high | n/a | | | | (2022) 4.10 | 3.83 | \sim | 3.95 | 4.16 | 4.10 | 4.54 | 4.06 | | |
| (B) | | Primary | | Termly | Count | 134 | 119 | 253 | | low | V | - | | | (2022) | 277 | | | | | | | | |
| D.19 (A) | No. of suspensions during the term | Secondary | | Termly | Count | 1617 | 1637 | 3254 | | low | • • | | | | | 3923 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | | | | | | | |
| D.20 | | Primary | OLD | Termly | Count | 1 | 5 | 6 | | low | • | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | |
| (A) | No. of permanent exclusions during the term | Secondary | OLD | Termly | Count | 32 | 30 | 62 | | low | ¥ | | | | | 57 | | | | | | | | |
| D.21 (A) | D.21 No. of active children missing from education (CME) cases | | | Termly | Count | 128 | 150 | 150 | | low | ^ | | | | | 181 | | | | | | | | |
| (A) No. of elective home educated (EHE) children at period end | | | Termly | Count | 386 | 435 | 435 | | low | ↑ | | | | | 336 | ~ | | | | | | | | |
| (A) ED.23 % of looked after children (LAC) with an up-to-date Reception > Y11 Termly (A) Personal Education Plan (PEP) in term Reception > Y11 Termly | | Termly | % | 91.9% | 82.1% | - | | high | Ŷ | | <87% | 87%+ | 95%+ | 95.0% | \sim | | | | | | | | | |
| () | Benchmark indicator Activity indicator | which type of indicate | or it is. | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | , v | | | | | | | |

As at month end: March 2023 (Quarter 4 - 2022/23)

A-Z Glossary

| Term | Definition |
|---|--|
| Academic year | The academic year runs from September to July over 3 terms (Autumn, Spring & Summer). |
| Adoptions | Following a child becoming looked after, it may be deemed suitable for a child to become adopted which is a legal process of becoming a non the best interests of the child is known as their 'SHOBPA'. Following this a family finding process is undertaken to find a suitable match based their adopter(s). Placement are monitored and assessed before the final adoption order is granted. |
| Assessment | If a child meets the Children's Act definition of 'Child in Need' or is likely to be at risk of significant harm, authorisation will be given for an asse services to provide and what action to take. National Working Together guidelines state that the maximum timeframe for the assessment to be referral. |
| Benchmarking | Comparing ourselves to others to help evaluate performance, efficiency of processes and value for money. It is always important in any comparators that authority or group (see statistical neighbours/comparators). |
| Care Leavers | A care leaver is, a person 25yrs or under; has been looked after by a LA for 13wks+ since 14yrs; and has been looked after by a LA at school- |
| CCE | Child Criminal Exploitation |
| Child Protection (CP) Visits | Local standards state that any child subject to a child protection plan should be visited at least every two weeks (exc. children on a CPP for least every two weeks (exc. children on a children on |
| Child Protection Conferences (initial & review conferences) | Following a S47 investigation a child protection conference may be convened to consider all the information obtained under the Section 47 end |
| Child Protection Plan (CPP) | Following a child protection conference where information is considered the best course of action is agreed leading to a child protection plan. child is safe from harm and remains that way. As long as it is in the best interests of the child, this will involve offering support and services to until the child is no longer considered at risk, moves out of the local authority area (in which case the receiving authority should convene its ow of 18. |
| Children in Need (CiN) | If a child is found to be disabled or the assessment finds that their health and development is likely to suffer without local authority intervention by Section 17 of the Children Act 1989. This means that the local authority is now legally obliged to provide the necessary services and suppo |
| Children missing from education (CME) | A child missing from education is a child of compulsory school age who is not on a school roll, and is not receiving suitable education otherwis |
| Contact | A contact is where an LA receives a contact about a child, and where there is a request for general advice, information or a social care service |
| CSE | Child Sexual Exploitation |
| Custody | In the law, custody is used in criminal and family law. In criminal law, a person is in custody when-after being arrested or convicted of a crime- under state control until they are acquitted of their alleged crime or the conclusion of their prison sentence. |
| Dental assessment | A dental assessment will be requested by the Social Worker every six months for children under 5 years old and annually for those over 5 and |
| DfE | The Department for Education is responsible for education and children's services in England. |
| Direction of Travel (DOT) | Has performance improved or declined? Remembering that 'high' figures are not always related to better performance. So on occasion direction decreased. |
| Edge of care | The aim of the service is to support children to continue to live at home and prevent family breakdown. It is a resource for families where prace young person is on the edge of care - and may need to become looked after. |
| Education, Health and Care Plan (EHCP) | An EHCP involves parents, carers, young people and children in decisions about what support a child or young person needs, now and in the professionals working across education, health and social care specialist services. |
| Education, employment or training (EET) | This indicator presents the share of young people who are in employment, education or training (NEET), as a percentage of the total number of |
| Elective Home Educated (EHE) | Elective home education is a term used to describe a choice by parents to provide education for their children at home or in some other way the time. |
| Episode | An episode is the timeframe of a family working with Early Help. |
| First time entrant (FTE) | First time entrants (FTE) into the Criminal Justice System A FTE is an offender who has. received their first reprimand, warning, caution or con in England or Wales or by the British Transport Police. |
| Health assessment | Following an initial health assessment when entering care a review health assessments (RHA) will be requested by the Social Worker every significant annually for those over 5 and up to 18 years. |
| Indicators | A measure which helps quantify the achievement of a desired outcome. |
| Initial health assessment | Initial Health Assessment identifies existing health problems and deficits in previous healthcare and provides a baseline for managing the child as soon as the. child becomes Looked After to ensure that Statutory Guidance is met. |
| Local Standards | These are agreed within local policy and outline our expectations of service for our customers. These should, match or preferably be higher th (where applicable). |

on-biological parent. The date this is agreed to be in ed on the child's needs, followed by placement with

sessment of needs to be started to determine which be completed is 45 working days from the point of

parison work that we consider whether the context of

ol-leaving age or after.

less than a week).

enquiry and to determine the best course of action.

The aim of a child protection plan is to ensure the o the family. Child protection plans remain in force own child protection conference) or reaches the age

on, the child will be classed as 'in need', as defined port.

vise than at a school.

ce.

e-they are held in jail or prison. Such persons are

nd up to 18 years.

tion of travel can be positive when the data has

actitioners have significant concerns that a child or

e future. It's prepared in partnership with

r of young people.

they desire, instead of sending them to school full-

conviction for an offence processed by a police force

six months for children under 5 years old and

ild's future health needs. The forms must be raised

than the minimum outlined in National Standards

| Term | Definition |
|---|--|
| Looked After Child (LAC) | Children in care are children who have become the responsibility of the local authority either voluntarily by parents struggling to cope or throug child is at risk of significant harm. LAC review meetings are convened to consider the plan for the welfare of LAC and how to achieve permanent needs. The LA is responsible for visiting LAC wherever they are living to ensure his/her welfare continues to be safeguarded and promoted are health needs fully assessed and a health plan clearly set out. |
| Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH) | MASH provides triage and multi-agency assessment of safeguarding concerns - in respect of vulnerable children and adults. It brings together integrated multi-agency team. |
| Measures | Performance measures are how well a particular service or system is working as opposed to the impact on whole populations - 'Management |
| National offer day | There are 2 National offer days each year, one for Primary school and one for Secondary School. These are the dates that the Primary/Second parents. Parents will find out if they have secured a place at their chosen school for their children, or if they have one of their other preferred of the school for |
| National Standards | The minimum level of service we are required to delivery based on government guidelines. Where these are not applicable local standards she |
| Not in education, employment or training (NEET) | This indicator presents the share of young people who are not in employment, education or training (NEET), as a percentage of the total numbers of total numbe |
| Ofsted | Ofsted is the Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills. They inspect and regulate services that care for children and yo skills for learners of all ages. |
| Outcomes | A statement of well-being for our local people. Whether it be children, adults, families or communities. |
| Permanent Exclusions | Permanent exclusion is the most serious sanction a school can give if a child does something that is against the school's behaviour policy (the |
| | allowed to attend the school and their name will be removed from the school roll. |
| Persistent absence | Persistent absence is when a pupil enrolment's overall absence equates to 10 per cent or more of their possible sessions. |
| Personal education plan (PEP) | A PEP is a statutory requirement for all looked after children to ensure that a record is maintained regarding the child's educational progress a overall care plan. |
| Placements | A LAC placement is where a child has become the responsibility of the local authority (LAC) and is placed with foster carers, in residential hon family provide the best form of care for most looked after children. Rotherham would like most of its children to be looked after by its own care community. |
| Quarter/Quarterly | Formal performance reporting follows a three monthly (quarterly) reporting schedule based on the financial year. Shown in the annual reporting 1st Quarter – April to June 2nd Quarter – July to September 3rd Quarter – October to December 4th Quarter – January to March |
| RAG Status | When monitoring progress on either plans or performance indicators a colour coded assessment of risk is undertaken against each item to as This risk status is known by the acronym 'RAG'. Standard definition for this is as follows; Red – Off track Amber – Satisfactory progress but not fully reaching target set Green – On track |
| Rate per 10,000 | A rate gives an indication of an amount that can be equivalent to the rate for a geographical indicator set, enabling the indicator to be compare For example, if a children's & young peoples service is being accessed by 500 per 10,000, it means that 500 children are accessing the service then be compared to the rate per 10,000 in other LA's or even national. |
| Referral | Contacts received are screened against an agreed multi-agency threshold criteria and where a manager agrees these thresholds have been r consideration of an assessment and/or other services which may be required for a child. |
| Re-offending | The underlying principle of measuring re-offending is that someone who has received some form of criminal justice sanction (such as a convic offence within a set time period. |
| Reporting year | The standard reporting year follows the financial year and runs from April to March. However there are exceptions to this where due to the nat academic year. This should be clearly stated in any plan or performance scorecard. |
| Rolling 12 months | Indicators that are 12 months rolling take into account the current month and the previous 11 months. For example, a measure being reporting in January 2022 will also include February 2021 to December 2021 |
| Section 47 (S47) | The Strategy Discussion may then decide to launch a Section 47 enquiry. This means the local authority must investigate the case further. |
| SOA's | SOAs (Super Output Areas), are small areas designed to be of a similar population size, with an average of approximately 1,500 residents or for National Statistics for the reporting of small area statistics. |
| Statistical neighbours/comparators | Authorities which due to the size and similar needs of their population have been grouped together. There are various groupings available whi Ofsted for Children's services and CIPFA for finance related measures. It is important when comparing ourselves to others we use the most a Retherbare Statistical Neighbours Paresley Dependent Dudley North East Lincolnshire. North Lincolnshire Redear and Cleveland Tame |
| Statutory | Rotherham Statistical Neighbours - Barnsley, Doncaster, Dudley, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire, Redcar and Cleveland, Tame Something which the Council has to do by law. |
| Statutory Stan down | |
| Step down | Step down is the process where an episode is stepped down from Social Care intervention to Early Help |

ugh an intervention by children's services because a nence for them within a timescale that meets their and the LA should ensure that every LAC has his/her

ner professionals from a range of agencies into an

nt Information'.

condary school allocations are communicated to d choices.

should be set.

mber of young people.

young people, and services providing education and

he school rules). It means that the child is no longer

and thus it forms an integral part of the child's

omes or with parents or other relatives. A foster care irers so that they remain part of their families and

ting wheel.

assess whether we are on track to meet our target.

arable.

vice for every 10,000 children in Rotherham. This can

n met then the contact progresses to a 'referral' for

viction or a caution) goes on to commit another

ature of indicators they follow a calendar or

r 650 households. They were produced by the Office

hich specialise in specific services for example appropriate group for that service.

neside, Telford and Wrekin, Wakefield, Wigan

| Term | Definition |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Step up | Step up is the process where an episode is stepped up to Social Care intervention from Early Help. |
| Strategy Meeting | If there is reasonable cause to suspect a child is suffering or likely to be suffering significant harm; a Strategy Discussion will be convened be bodies. |
| Suitable Accommodation | Accommodation is to be regarded as suitable if it provides safe, secure and affordable provision for young people. |
| Suspensions | Suspension is where a child is temporarily removed from school for a fixed period of time. It is important that a continuation of education occu |
| Targets | Where we want to be and by when. This can be at indicator level or against actions within a delivery plan. |
| Threshold | The criteria required to meet a specific requirement. |
| Transition | Transition is where a child is moving from Primary to Secondary school. |
| Tribunal | A tribunal is responsible for handling appeals against local authority decisions regarding special educational needs, including a refusal to: ass and care (EHC) needs. reassess their EHC needs. issue an EHC plan. change what's in a child or young person's EHC plan. |
| Validation | Processes to ensure data quality |
| Year to date (YTD) | Refers to performance from the beginning of the current financial year up to and inclusive of the reporting period. |
| Youth Justice Service (YJS) | The primary aim is to prevent offending and re-offending by young people aged 10-17 years as well as supporting young people who are invo |
| | |

between child protection staff and other relevant

ccurs during this time.

ssess a child or young person's educational, health

volved in the Criminal Justice system.